Emulation of “single-packet” UDP Scanning Worms in Large Enterprises
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Abstract: Worms are a serious threat to Internet security. The past research on worm has been focused on mathematical modeling, numerical analysis, and simulation in addition to proposed defense strategies. We believe a fine-grained, packet-level emulation of worm propagation in enterprise networks is highly beneficial for the deep understanding of worm dynamics and a prerequisite for worm containment analysis. In this paper, we propose a virtual-node approach and an Internet scanning model to run such a worm emulation in a resource-limited testbed. The results from our validation experiments using virtual nodes and other emulation approaches show that our virtual node approach can realize the same level of fidelity while using much fewer testbed nodes. The insights we gained and the lessons we learned in doing worm experiments will be valuable to a variety of enterprise network worm-recreation and defense-evaluation research.
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1. Introduction

Recently, the Internet has experienced a series of self-propagating worm attacks (e.g., Code-Red, SQL Slammer, Blaster, etc.) that have caused significant disruption to financial, transportation, and government institutions, among others. The severity of the experienced worm effects clearly show that worm is a serious threat to Internet security. There are many ongoing research efforts to study the worm dynamics and devise ways to combat worm attacks. And all these efforts have an urgent need on worm experiment methodologies for evaluation and validation.

1.1. Experimental Methodologies for Worm Research

The experimental methodologies for worm research can be classified into the following categories.

- Mathematical analysis and modeling - various models have been proposed to study the worm propagation on the Internet, many based on epidemics models of biology [20], [22]. Normally the results from these modeling analyses match the results from real world monitoring (e.g., [13]), but their underlying assumption of uniform distribution on a simple topology becomes invalid when dealing with worm propagation and defense on a enterprise network where topology and hardware/software configurations vary considerably.

- Simulation - simulation packages such as Network Simulator (NS)[18] and Scalable Simulation Framework (SSF) [17] can be used to reproduce the worm behavior in a more detailed level; but simulation on a simple CPU or multiple CPUs can only handle a rather small topology without losing substantial fidelity.
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• Emulation - the fidelity of emulation is highest compared with numerical analysis and simulation. Ideally emulation should be run by one-to-one fashion between real world host and experiment node to get the most accurate results, which makes scalability a big concern for emulation. Emulation can be run on a production network, a testbed network, or an overlay network.

• Hybrid - to balance the fidelity and scalability, various hybrid approaches have been proposed, including the combination of emulation and simulation, simulation with scaling-down, etc.

Although analytical models of worm propagation are well studied in the literature [22], [21], worm simulation and emulation are quite preliminary. In [19], proprietary worm simulations are done but only coarse-grained global worm propagation activities are simulated with very high level abstraction of the worm propagation environment. In [10], SSFNet is used to simulate realistic network worm traffic for worm warning system design and testing, but only at an abstract network level.

1.2. Testbed for Emulation

A testbed supplies a flexible environment with which researchers can configure arbitrary network topologies and test new protocols or security defense technologies. The objectives of DETER (The Cyber Defense Technology Experimental Research) project together with EMIST (Evaluation Methods for Internet Security Technology) project are to “build an effective experimental and testing environment and to develop a corresponding experimental methodology, for Internet security issues and defense mechanisms” [1], [15]. The currently deployed ISI segment of DETER is a 72-node clustered Emulab [3] testbed. All experimental nodes in DETER are connected by one fast switch and node connections are formed by \textit{LAN} or \textit{link} which are actually constructed using the \textit{VLAN} feature of the switch. Basic static routing is supported and more advanced routing can be implemented by running special routing software on the intermediate nodes.

The scale of the testbed makes it possible to emulate worm propagation in large enterprise networks with fidelity so that worm spreading can be recreated and the corresponding detections or defenses can be evaluated and validated. Several worm simulation/emulation experiments have already conducted on DETER. In [7], the need for advanced worm experiment utilities is identified. In [20], the idea of using scale-down to explore worm dynamics is investigated via DETER simulations. In [14], a hybrid quarantine defense is proposed and validated by both NS2 simulations and DETER emulations. The preliminary experiments show that reproducing enterprise worm propagation in a testbed environment using emulation and simulation is feasible and can yield detailed results that simulation alone cannot accomplish.

2. Emulation of UDP Scanning Worms in Large Enterprises

We believe a fine-grained, packet-level emulation or simulation of worm propagation in enterprise networks is highly beneficial for the deep understanding of worm dynamics and a missing link between pure abstract simulation and product testing in a production network. As a pilot study, we want to emulate the propagation of UDP scan worm (Sapphire Slammer [13]) in an enterprise network. In this emulation, we wanted to observe the effect of Internet incoming scans on the enterprise network; the worm propagation within the network; the traffic dynamics under worm propagation; and other fine details that modeling or simulation cannot yield.

2.1. Emulation Challenges on Testbed

There are some challenging issues to run such emulation on a resource-limited testbed, including:

○ Scale-down: Large enterprise networks could span multiple class-B IP subnets and may include thousands of nodes. For simplicity, we chose a mid-scale network as the experiment network that has one thousand nodes. However, even this mid-scale network employing a one-to-one emulation approach entails substantial resources that a normal testbed cannot support. The Emulab testbed has fewer than 200 nodes; DETER testbed has 72 nodes. To emulate an enterprise network using such testbeds, we need some kind of scale-down or virtualization while keeping the fidelity level high.

○ The Internet interface between the enterprise network and the rest of the Internet: The enterprise network cannot be an isolated island so we have to build an Internet interface on the access link of this enterprise to simulate the communication between the enterprise network and Internet. How to model the Internet is a great challenge because of its vast size and ever-changing nature. Specifically we need to simulate the ingress scanning traffic to the enterprise.

○ Synchronization problem: There is an inherent synchronization problem in distributed simulation or integrated simulation/emulation experiment. Though clock synchronization is not so important in an event-driven worm experiment in terms of infection, we still need to consider carefully the work load of a virtual node program to avoid overloading it.

2.2. Virtualization using VMware or Emulab VM, or NS2 simulation

Besides the “virtual node” approach presented in this article, there are alternative virtualization methods that can be utilized, such as VMware [25] and multiplexed virtual nodes in Emulab (Emulab VM) [3].
Though VMware is a successful and popular product that supports multiple OSes running on one physical host machine and has other features, it is not ideal in our testbed simulation scenario because of its lack of script support and the substantive difficulty integrating with the Emulab testbed. In the DETER/Emulab testbed, another VM choice is available: a FreeBSD based VM node that can be easily deployed and could be combined with real nodes to emulate a larger topology. Each VM virtual node has its own virtual network interface and IP address, separate process pool, file systems, and its own set of users and groups. Unmodified user applications and traffic monitoring tools like TCPDUMP can be run on the VM node.

One important limitation of VMware and other VM approaches is the number of virtual machines that can be run on one host machine. Because each virtual machine consumes considerable resources such as separate process pool, virtual disk and file systems, the number of virtual machines cannot be so large as with virtual node approach of this paper in which one virtual machine is simulated by one single thread of a virtual LAN program.

On the other extreme of spectrum between one-to-one emulation and pure simulation, there is another approach: NS2 simulation environment (NSE), supported by Emulab/DETER testbed. A sub-network can be simulated by a simulation block and experimenters can combine emulation and simulation at the same time in one experiment. Besides the synchronization problem (A packet level NS simulation may not be able to keep with emulated nodes), the fidelity will be suffered a lot when using this approach to simulate high-throughput network behavior, where the worm or DDoS emulation is all about.

For these reasons, we propose an innovative way to faithfully emulate worm propagation in large enterprise networks on a resource-limited testbed so that worm propagation can be recreated and the corresponding defenses can be evaluated and validated. In particular, we propose:

a. A virtualization method via virtual node modeling and design, and

The rest of paper is organized as follows. Section 3 gives the details of virtual node design. The Internet scanning model is presented in the next section. In section 5 we discuss the results of validation experiment for our virtual node and Internet node modeling. Section 6 briefly reviews the enterprise network emulation and the experience of building honey pots using virtual node programs. Section 7 concludes the paper.

3. VIRTUAL NODES MODELING THE SLAMMER WORM

This section illustrates the architecture of our virtual node design. It consists of virtual end-systems, each having a unique virtual IP address and each connected with others in an emulated LAN topology. In the virtual node program, each virtual end-system is implemented by a thread which simultaneously executes a user-defined procedure when a virtual node process starts. Thus, more than one virtual node program can be executed on a physical node without any special configuration.

Similar to Emulab VM, our virtual node program can be executed on any regular Emulab/DETER experimental node. The virtual node, an emulation of a switched LAN, is a real-time application which can send and receive packets to/from other nodes on the real network. A major difference between our virtual node model and Emulab VM is that it provides a finer-grained, packet-level emulation of a LAN while using much less resources. In Section 5, we validate and compare the characteristics of traffic generated from the virtual node model with those from all real nodes and Emulab VM nodes. Note that our current virtual node model only supports an emulated switched-LAN model. In our future work, we will explore implementation of a CSMA/CD wired and wireless LAN according to a model of LAN traffic saturation in [6].

3.1. A Virtual Node for a Peripheral LAN Containing a Slammer Infective

Figure 1 shows the programming layout of virtual node model. The virtual node, a multi-threading application on a single CPU, consists of a virtual switch, virtual end-systems, and a background traffic generator. The virtual switch is simply a token bucket traffic regulator. Virtual end-systems are implemented by threads, and each is attached with a background traffic generator. In addition, user can attach a user-defined worm code (e.g., SQL slammer code) to a susceptible virtual end-system in order to generate scanning traffic.

3.2. End-system Threads

Both a background traffic generator and user-defined worm procedures are functions that are assigned to and constantly executed by end-system threads to generate normal and worm scanning traffic. For the SQL Slammer worm, the background traffic is negligible compared to the peak scanning traffic of the worm. Thus, we simplify the background traffic generator to randomly generate traffic with size sampling the Internet packet length distribution [23], and the background traffic scanning rate is limited to 10% of the upstream link bandwidth of each end-system. Note that there is no Internet background traffic sent into the enterprise network. More complicated background traffic models [11] are under-studied and being integrated into our virtual node model.

In our experiment, we programmed a user-defined worm procedure to act like the SQL Slammer worm scanning procedure, i.e., it continuously sends 404-byte UDP packets to randomly generated IPv4 addresses. An infected
packet can cause a new infective when it reaches a virtual end-system that is marked as a susceptible end-system. The new infected end-system will itself begin to send the scanning traffic immediately after the infection. In fact, the susceptible end-systems need to be assigned to a virtual node program before we run the virtual node experiment.

### 3.3 Address Mapping

There are two types of addresses used in the virtual node program, namely a virtual IP address of an end-system and an actual IP address of a DETER experimental node. The virtual IP address is an identifier of a virtual end-system on a virtual LAN while the actual IP address is a physical IP address of an experimental node in the DETER network. A unique IPv4 address is assigned to each virtual end-system, and the network addresses of virtual end-systems are mapped with the DETER experimental node IP addresses and kept in an intra-enterprise address mapping table. Figure 2(a) shows an example of an intra-enterprise address mapping table. The first column represents the unique network address of virtual end-system and can be used as a table index. The second column is the actual DETER node IP addresses that are associated with the network addresses in the first column. Note that the last row of the intra-enterprise mapping table represents the mapping of inter-enterprise addresses and the address of the inter-enterprise (Internet) gateway.

In our virtual node program, the virtual IP address is used by a virtual end-system to send scanning traffic between virtual end-systems. However, traffic among DETER experimental nodes is communicated by the actual IP address. In other words, the virtual IP address is only known to the virtual node program, not to the other DETER emulated-network devices. Therefore, the virtual IP address has to be translated into the IP address according to the intra-enterprise address mapping table so that the scanning traffic can be sent across the DETER network. In addition, the rest of scanning traffic whose virtual IP address do not match with any particular networks in the intra-enterprise address mapping table (in other words, they are mapped to “default”) will be sent to the Internet gateway and it will be silently dropped here. In the following, we show where both virtual and actual IP addresses are located in an IP packet.

Figure 2(b) shows an IP packet structure used in our virtual node program. The virtual node IP packet, like most IP packets, consists of an actual IP header followed by a number of bytes of payload that consists of a virtual IP header, and a variable length pad. Currently our virtual IP header supports only IPv4 addresses. The length field contains the size of packet, not including the actual IP header. The Type field contains a packet information to indicate whether it is a worm packet or a normal packet. Finally, the variable-length Pad field is used to fill up the payload to create a packet according to the virtual header length field.
3.4. Traffic Shaping for a Switched LAN

We now describe the simple mathematical models for shaping traffic of a switched LAN in our virtual node model. The throughput of a switched LAN is bounded by only the upstream link bandwidth capacity. If we assume that \( n \) end-systems are transmitting at full rate \( R \), the throughput is bounded by a nondecreasing \( R \cdot n \). Therefore, the traffic volume on the upstream link of a switched LAN is determined by taking the minimum of its upstream link bandwidth \( C \) and the aggregate throughput of the end-systems it connects. Note that a CSMA/CD LAN has different traffic saturation model than a switched LAN. Again, an emulated CSMA/CD wired and wireless LAN will be implemented in the next version of virtual node model.

We apply the above switched LAN model into the sending interface of the virtual switch. To regulate the outbound traffic, we use a "mutex" lock to implement a token-bucket traffic regulator. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that the bucket size is zero. In order to send a packet, a virtual end-system has to acquire a token (mutex). Let \( P \) represents a sending packet size, and \( C \) is the outbound sending speed of the upstream link bandwidth. When the token is not available, the packet from the virtual end-system will be dropped and the virtual end-system thread will be stalled for \( P/R \) time-unit before it sends a new packet. However, if the sending virtual end-system successfully acquires the token, the packet will be sent out, the token will be hold by the sending end-system for \( P/C \) time-unit, and the virtual end-system thread will be stalled for \( P/R \) time-until before sending a new packet.

Under the SQL Slammer, if \( n \) infected end-systems are transmitting at full rate \( R \), the throughput of a switched LAN is defined by

\[
s(n) = \min\{R \cdot n, C\},
\]

and the maximum transmission rate of a switched LAN end-system was assumed by \( s(n)/n \).

4. Slammer Scans from the Internet to the Enterprise Network Under Test

To recreate the scanning traffic of the Slammer worm directed to the enterprise network under test by the rest of the Internet, we could simply use the total scan-rate data of Slammer as measured by the University of Wisconsin’s tarpit and reported in [13], [20], see Figure 3 (also see [2] for a discussion of measurement variations of blackholes/tarpits focusing, however, on locally scanning TCP worms like Blaster). Alternatively, one could use a mathematical model whose parameters can be

- fit to the salient data of a given worm (again, if that data is available) or
- varied in an attempt to capture the behavior of actual worms for which measured Internet data is unavailable or set for hypothetical worms.

In [8], [9], we reported a variation of the Kermack-McKendrick mathematical model [5] that could account for the access-link saturation caused by Slammer’s scanning traffic. We now give a brief overview of a special case of this model for “homogeneous” networks with instantly saturating links. Consider a population of \( N \) peripheral enterprise networks. For a homogeneous Internet model, assume each enterprise has the same number \( C \) of susceptible (SQL server) nodes. Each enterprise is in one of \( C + 1 \) states where state \( i \) connotes exactly \( i \) worms (infectives) for \( 0 \leq i \leq C \). For the entire network, define the state variables \( y_i(t) \) representing the number of slime worm switches infected...
of enterprises in state \(i\) at time \(t\). Clearly, for all time \(t \geq 0\)
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{C} y_i(t) = N. \quad \text{(1)}
\]
Define
\[
Y(t) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{C} y_i(t) = N - y_0(t)
\]
as the number of enterprises with one or more worms (infectives); we assume that each such infected enterprise
transmits exactly \(\sigma\) scans/s into the Internet irrespective of the degree of its infection. That is, we assume that a
single infective saturates the stub-link bandwidth of the enterprise. Finally, an implicit assumption of the following
is that “local” infections (between nodes in the same enterprise) are negligible in number. Thus, the total rate of
scanning (causing infection) into the Internet at time \(t\) is
\[
S(t) = \sigma Y(t).
\]
The likelihood that a particular susceptible is infected by a scan is \(\eta = 2^{-32}\) (purely random scanning in the 32-bit
IPv4 address space). The likelihood, therefore, that a scan causes an enterprise in state \(i\) at time \(t\) to transition
to state \(i+1\) is \((C-i)\eta\) because there are \(C-i\) susceptible but not infected nodes in the enterprise at time \(t\).
Thus, define the infection “rate” of an enterprise in state \(i\) by
\[
\beta_i \equiv \sigma \eta (C - i).
\]
The time-evolutions of the states \(y_i\) are governed by the following coupled Kermack-McKendrick equations:
For times \(t \geq 0\),
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{y}_C(t) &= \beta_{C-1} y_{C-1}(t) Y(t), \\
\dot{y}_i(t) &= (\beta_{i-1} y_{i-1}(t) - \beta_i y_i(t)) Y(t) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq C - 1, \\
\dot{y}_0(t) &= -\beta_0 y_0(t) Y(t).
\end{align*}
\]
The total number of worms (infectives) at time \(t\) is clearly
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{C} iy_i(t). \quad \text{(5)}
\]
Thus, the scan-rate per worm (per infective) is
\[
\frac{\sigma Y(t)}{\sum_{i=1}^{C} iy_i(t)} = \frac{\sigma \sum_{i=1}^{C} y_i(t)}{\sum_{i=1}^{C} iy_i(t)}. \quad \text{(6)}
\]
Summing equations \(i = 1\) to \(C\) yields the “standard” Kermack-McKendrick equation
\[
dY/dt = \beta_0 y_0 Y = \beta_0 (N - Y) Y
\]
whose solution is
\[
Y(t) = NY(0) [Y(0) + (N - Y(0)) \exp(-\beta_0 N t)]^{-1}.
\]
It turned out that this model, with its few parameters suitably selected from measurements of Slammer’s
spread, overestimated the total scan-rate of the worm [20], [8]. A slightly more general version of the model
[8], [9] was able to accurately recreate the measured Slammer data (the latter reference effectively exploiting
routeview data also used in [20]). Our simulation code “kmsim” for this more general model is documented and
publicly available at [15].

4.1 Packet Injector Given Rates
Suppose that the total scan rate, \(S(t)\) of a worm is available (either by measured data or by a mathematical
model and parameters suitably chosen). Under random scanning, the scan-rate from the Internet directed at the
enterprise under simulation could be approximated as \((A/2^{32}) S(t)\) where \(A\) is the size of the address space of
enterprise network under simulation; alternatively, a similar but random thinning of \(S(t)\) could be used. Individual
scans would be directed to an end-system of the enterprise network that is chosen at random. The scan rate curve
generated from the above mathematical model for SQL Slammer is illustrated in Figure 3. As can be seen, the
generated scan rate curve closely matches with the measured Slammer curve from the University of Wisconsin.

5. Validation Experiments for Virtualization
To test whether the virtual node model/approach can satisfy the emulation requirement in terms of worm infection,
traffic throughput, and packet distribution among LAN nodes etc. \(^1\) We have done a series of worm propagation
experiments using three different emulation approaches.

\(^1\)It is not realistic for virtual-node simulation to generate exactly the same network traffic for all LAN nodes, so here the focus of virtual
LAN emulation is on the network dynamics viewed from outside the LAN, i.e., on the direct LAN access link and other network segments.
5.1. Experiment Settings

Limited by the testbed node availability, the topology used was a 200-plus node network including six LANs, two internal routers, one edge router connecting to the Internet interface node, and the remaining were host nodes (see Figure 4(a)). For simplicity, all links were assigned 100MB bandwidth and zero millisecond delay. All others being the same in the three experiments (named real2xx, vm2xx, and vn2xx), one 60-node LAN space (LAN-E: leftmost one in the topology including two susceptible nodes) was configured respectively by using all real nodes, all VM nodes, and one virtual node.

Each host node in LAN-E is emulated/simulated by either one dedicated machine (in the real2xx experiment), one VM node (vm2xx), or by one thread in virtual node program (vn2xx). If a node is susceptible for worm infection, it waits for infection packet and will become infective after receiving one such packet, then logging the infection time and beginning to send out worm packets. If it is not susceptible, it just sends out packets with various sizes and time delays to simulate the background traffic. All hosts in the other five LANs are simulated by the virtual node programs.

The routers (emulated by testbed PC with multiple interface cards) and Internet interface node are emulated by testbed machines by one-to-one mapping. TCPDUMP programs were set-up to log all the traffic running through these nodes. To ensure infection in this small topology in order to observe the performance of virtual program, we intentionally sent out two infectious packets targeting two susceptible nodes in the LAN, the first at three seconds after the experiment began and the second at the 14th second, besides the scanning worm packets from Internet node patterned by the kmsim model (the experimenting topology was regarded as a class-B network). Each experiment ran about 60 seconds.

5.2. The Experimental Results

The experimental results we are interested are the traffic throughput from the interested LAN, worm infections, worm scanning patterns, and traffic throughput/composition on the Internet interface link. Table 4(b) is a simple comparison of testbed required resources for the 60-node LAN under three experiment approaches. The experimental data includes infection logs recorded by host simulation program (virtual node or real node) and network traffic logs recorded by host simulation program on host node or TCPDUMP program on other nodes. We have experienced some problem with TCPDUMP: TCPDUMP may miss packets when several TCPDUMP instances are running on a node with multiple interface cards, though we have assigned faster machines to nodes that carry heavier traffic and used a larger device buffer to improve the capture performance [16]. In all three experiments there was no other infection other than the two we purposefully made, while the actual traffic patterns generated by the interested LAN (LAN-E) were different and reflected the strength or weakness of various emulation approaches. As illustrated below, our experiment results unveiled some very interesting insights about virtualization-based emulation.

○ Throughput on the LAN Access Link

As Figure 5 indicates, the virtual-LAN simulation (i.e., ALL-Virtual-node-for-one-LAN) method generated most consistent traffic among three experiments and the throughput maintaining a level just below the bandwidth of LAN access link. (The traffic data for the virtual-LAN experiment was reported by the virtual program, while the data of other two experiments was collected by TCPDUMP programs. The validity of traffic logs by the virtual program is corroborated by the TCPDUMP data collected on the other part of network.) The throughput from the all-real-node LAN is commensurate with that of the virtual-LAN, considering probable packet loss by the TCPDUMP program under high-volume traffic stress [16]. The emulation using the all-VM-node-LAN had...
the worst behavior: the throughput fluctuating heavily between 0 and 100Mb with a large variance. One possible explanation for the weak performance of VM multiplexing is that separate process pools for multiple VM nodes needed more OS handling time and higher CPU load on the host machine.

Packet composition on the LAN Access Link

The distribution of worm scanning packets originating from the two infected nodes on the LAN access link of three experiments are displayed in Figure 6. In vn2xx experiment two infected nodes output the same amount of scanning traffic. The minor difference between the first and second infected nodes in real2xx experiment (44:56) was probably the result of TCPDUMP packet loss. Because of inconsistent traffic generated by VM based nodes, there is no obvious pattern of distribution between the two infected nodes in the vm2xx experiment.

Throughput on the Internet interface link

Readings of throughput on Internet interface (Figure 7) links are similar with those of the LAN access link: real2xx had the highest throughput at 71.4Mb; vn2xx the second at 63.2Mb; vm2xx the lowest at 36.6Mb. Because two infected nodes were located within the same sub-net and they were the only nodes sending out packets in high speed, the scanning traffic can reach to the Internet interface node without a large amount of packet loss.

5.3. Discussion

The experimental results validated our hypothesis that a virtual node can realistically emulate a LAN which has limited susceptible nodes distribution (limited by aggregate outbound bandwidth and CPU load) and background traffic throughput. It is more controllable than Emulab VM multiplexing approach and consumes less resources. While Emulab VM has its advantage over our virtual node approach in that it can save experimenter’s work of re-writing programs, you have to carefully plan your multiplexing scheme to avoid overloading the host machine if
you want to utilize it in your experiment. Testbed experimenters can adopt these different virtualization approaches based on the actual simulation scenario, the available resources, CPU and bandwidth estimate, and application complexities, or use a hybrid strategy.

6. ENTERPRISE WORM EMULATION AND PASSIVE WORM DETECTION

Assured by the validation experiments, we then utilized the virtual LAN programs and DETER testbed to run an emulation of worm propagation in an enterprise (/16) network.

6.1. Enterprise Network Emulation and Results

This more realistic topology (Figure 8(a)) includes seven internal routers, eighteen small LANs and one thousand host nodes (seven of them susceptible), one central switch, one edge router linking the Internet interface node. All end hosts were simulated by virtual LAN program and other nodes are emulated by testbed machines with one to one mapping. All links were set with 100MB bandwidth and zero millisecond delay. The emulation was configured to run for 120 seconds and the topology consumed 29 real testbed nodes. (The topology design, experiment configuration, and translation from topology to testbed script were done with the help of EMIST experiment specification and visualization tool. [15])

The 120 second emulation resulted in five infections out of seven susceptible nodes in the network. When there were more infected nodes and they were sending out scanning packets simultaneously to the Internet interface node, the total amount of scan packets (throughput) on the Internet interface link began to decrease, from about 2700 packets per 100ms to about 1000 packets per 100ms. Figure 8(b) shows the throughput change and scanning packet distribution among the five worm victims. Because the five infected nodes were distributed in five out of total six sub-networks, the majority of local links were congested by scanning traffic and only a small portion of background traffic survived and reached to Internet interface node.

The so-called “self-congestion” characteristics of Slammer worm gives people the impression that one infected node can fill up the outgoing link and the successive infected nodes may suffer of starvation. We examined the traffic trace of the Internet interface node to see if there is such “starvation” phenomenon existing. We found that the percentage of average scan packets from the first infected node among the all scanning traffic changes from 50% under two victims, to 32% under three victims, to 25% under four victims, and finally to 18% under five victims, i.e., worm scans were roughly equally distributed among the infected victims on the egress link. Surely the network topology can affect such distribution a lot. If the infected node’s outgoing link has a higher bandwidth (Slammer worm infects MS SQL database server, which is normally connected by a high-bandwidth access link.), it may occupy a higher portion of egress bandwidth if there is bandwidth competition.

6.2. Passive Worm Detection using Virtual Node

A honeypot is an effective way to monitor and collect intrusion attack behavior and information about a network. Normally honeypot machines are assigned non-production IP addresses or “dark addresses” since the traffic targeting these unused addresses are highly likely malicious or at least suspicious. For worm scanning detection that emphasizes more on information collection, low-interaction honeypots are more appropriate. Virtual honey pots, notably Honeyd [24], require fewer physical computer systems and can be utilized for such purposes.
The virtual LAN program has the similar characteristics as the Honeyd simulation program, which creates virtual hosts/network on one real machine that can be simulated to run various operating systems or network services. In our enterprise emulation experiment, we configured one test virtual node in the topology to simulate a honeypot like network (/24) to passively gather scanning traffic from both outside (scans from Internet interface node) and inside (scans from infected nodes). While its implementation is simple compared with Honeyyd's multifarious features (such as ARPd to intercept traffic, NMAP response, etc.), it is sufficient to function as an intrusion detection prototype for testbed emulation experiments. On this honeypot node, we ran a traffic collecting program to receive any traffic to this /24 network segment. Emulation and virtual LAN programs recognize the existence of “dark addresses” by reading the complete topology map file so that the background traffic will skip these addresses and only worm packets will be directed to this node.

7. Conclusion

In this work, we have performed a set of emulated experiments on the DETER testbed to study Slammer worm propagation from an enterprise network perspective. We showed that a fine-grained, packet-level worm emulation is feasible using a resource-limited physical testbed. We showed how fidelity and testbed resource requirements can be properly balanced using techniques such as virtualization. The insights we gained and the lessons we learned in doing worm experiments will be valuable to a variety of enterprise network worm re-creation and defenses evaluation experiments.

The experiments we have done are only the first steps to explore the use of testbed for fine-grained, packet-level emulated worm experiments in enterprise networks, and significant future research is needed to make DETER a truly useful testbed for worm recreation and defense evaluation.

References